

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/481,120	01/11/2000	MICHAEL DELK	1780/OF908	5209	
7	590 05/31/2002				
DARBY & D		EXAMINER			
805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1					<del>/\</del>	<u>}</u>			
		Appli	cation No.		Applicant(s)	,			
Office Action Summary		09/48	31,120		DELK ET AL.				
		Exam	iner		Art Unit				
	·	<b>i</b>	C. Sirmon		3763	Idaa a			
Period fo	• •			•		iaress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for repl pply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a y will by statute cause the	no event, howe e statutory mir and will expire e application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>07 March 2</u>	<u> 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	n is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
•	Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are pending	in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electi	on require	ment.					
Application	on Papers								
,—	The specification is objected to by th								
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priorit	y under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				·				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority				•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority								
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest the attached detailed Office action</li> </ol>	national Bureau (F	PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priori	ty under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisiona	l application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign la								
Attachment	(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office		<u> </u>		,				

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 12, it is unclear what is meant by or the like. "Or the like" is considered to be indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saravia et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,484,402 and further in view of Jepson et al U.S. Pat. No. 6,261,266.

Saravia discloses a surgical irrigator comprising: a pumping unit (10) having an inlet and an outlet (distal end of 12/120), a handpiece and tubing connecting the outlet of said pumping unit to said handpiece (figs. 1, 2 and 5), said pumping unit including means for supporting the pumping unit on a pole or the like (fig. 1), a flexible tube connecting at one end to said inlet (23),

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

and a spike connected to the other end of said flexible tube for connecting said inlet to an irrigation bag (12), said spike being adapted to penetrate a fitting in said irrigation bag (12). However, Saravia discloses using the precise structure as disclosed by applicant in the claims, but does not disclose using a lubricant such as silicone on a spike. However, Jepson definitely discloses using a lubricant such as silicone on a spike (112) and (col. 15, lines 59-67 and lines 1-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Saravia to include a lubricant on a spike as disclosed by Jepson for reducing friction and thereby lowering the insertion force required into a septum.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed,

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

5/21/02

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700